PF 8484 93

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1892-93

~~192~~ .

(28)

File No 145 of 92

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major ~~Part~~ B for October 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Vengappa Hegde against his guardian Achannna regarding the Shanbhag's Bantikole and other Villages in Bowring Pet Taluk.

Contents

Indexed

48

Memo

A. C. No. 148 of 1892-93

Appellant: Vengappa
minor by his
mother & guardian
Achamma

This is an appeal
against the order
dated 27. 1. 93 passed
by the Deputy Commr
of Kodagu directing
that the Shanbhogi
of Gajinga and seven
other villages only
might be registered
in the name of the
appellt on condition
of his paying up the
arrears (of revenue)
due to Govt by his
father Venkatramanna

The facts of the
case are briefly

For a date
for hearing
& issue notice to
Petroleum
now in office
[illegible]
21/12/93

Petn to the Dist Court Kodagu
for hearing
for
[illegible]

Petn Cate Jailor who
of 24 villages
was Shanbhog deed was
dismissed in July 1891
Dy Comr refuses to give M
the village, Contg Govt
attaches if he pays up the
arrears of Land Revenue.
Is appeal against the order? [illegible]

as follows:

The applicants' father Venkatnarayanappa had been the registered Shanbhog of Gajaga and 23 other villages in Bowringpet Taluk Kolar District.

In his deposition dated 24th May 1890 Venkatnarayanappa applt's father stated that he had been doing the Shanbhogi duties of his 24 villages for 50 years and that he was 80 years old. But it is not clear from the records how long he had been ~~independently~~ personally discharging the Shanbhogi duties of his 24 villages.

In his report dated 22d July 1891 the Amildar states that

gumastas might be
appointed one for
each of these divisions

On the 21st Oct.
1890 the Amildar
reported to the Deputy
Commr that the above
named Narahari Rao
and Thippia were
competent, that they
might be retained
as gumastas, that if
they were removed the
Shanbhagi work would
suffer considerably,
and that one Lakshma-
pathia might also
be appointed as
gumasta, so that
each one of the ~~divisions~~
~~might~~ three divisions
might have a gumasta

On the 3d Nov.
1890 the Deputy Commr
ordered that under
the above circumstances
Narahari Rao, Thippia

and Lakshmipathi
were, as a temporary
measure, appointed
as gumastas and
that the Amildar should
report after one year
whether or not they were
competent to discharge
their duties efficiently.

In the meantime
Venkatnarayya
appellt's father had
embezzled some govt
money.

In his deposition
dated 11th July 1891
before the Amildar,
Venkatnarayya
admitted that he had
collected Kanikyam* from some ryots, that
he alone had given
them receipts, & that
until three or four
years after he had
received the money
from those ryots, he

* the extent of
Rs 3-4-11

Vide Achamma's depn of 29.11.92

Venkatramanappa ~~appellt's~~ appellant's father seems to have died in the early part of 1892, leaving behind him three ~~minors~~ minor sons of whom the appellant is the eldest.

Achamma appellt's mother and wife of the above named Venkatramanappa requested the authorities that the Shanbhogi of the 24 villages which had belonged to her husband might be registered in the name of the appellt & that her brother Venkatramanna might be appointed to look after the Shanbhogi duties until the appellt attained his majority.

Achamma's brother Venkatramanna signed a ~~Govt~~ Kay[illegible] as Kay[illegible] on 19.1.2 said to have been

Vide Achamma's depn of 22.7.92

Another petn in the file shows that the amt of arrears is Rs 207.3.7

~~deceased~~ the arrears of
Kandayam due by
appellt father if the
Shanbhogi of the 24
villages was registered
in the name of the
appellt and he (Venkat
ramia) was appointed
to look after the Shanbhogi
duties till the appellt
attained his majority.

vide depn
of 30.11-92

The Deputy Commr
finally ordered ~~that~~
as Venkatnarayanappa
had been dismissed
on account of his having
committed misappro
-priation his heirs
could not have any
right to the Shanbhogi
in question, that,
nevertheless, in consi
-deration of the helpless
condition of deceased
Venkatnarayanappa's wife
and children the
Shanbhogi of Gajaga
and seven other villages
might be registered
in the name of the

× of 27.1.93

this family has thus forfeited all right of succession to the hereditary office.

The Shanbhogi charge in question being too unwieldy consisting of 24 villages which accounts in a measure for the unsatisfactory manner in which the duties attached to the office appear to have been performed during the time of the late incumbent the Amildar was ordered to break it up into 3 parts of 8 villages each and to recommend for each Firka a competent man unconnected with the family of the dismissed Shanbhog.

× × ×

I have with a view to provide for the maintenance of the family of the deceased

Shanbhog directed in this office order No 731 dated 27.1.93 that if the petr pays up the arrears due to Govt by her late husband her eldest son Venkappa should be registered as Shanbhog of one of the 3 Tirkas into which the charge has been divided

× × ×

The petr appeals against the aforementioned order and his appeal is in my humble opinion utterly groundless for the reasons explained above."

Under the Deputy Commrs order dated 11th November 1889 the amildar split up the Shanbhogi charge that had belonged to appellts' father into three circles or Tirkas viz/

(I) 1 Gajaga
2 Bumpankatti

× refd to in page 2 of this Bo memo)

15 &

3 Kondanahalli
4 Jogihalli
5 Ookoonda
6 Sreerungabandakalli
7 Ragamakalhalli
8 Obahalli

(II) 1 Budikote
2 Manandahalli
3 Kodaguriki
4 Umakal oodde
5 Karmanahalli
6 Pattakamagola
7 Jantijanahalli
8 Gottahalli

(III) 1 yelesamudra
2 Kotakamagola
3 Doddaru
4 Eswarapura
5 Dinnekothur
6 Nagenahalli
7 Gollahalli
8 Gulahalli

As a temporary measure the Deputy Commr. on the recommendation of the Amildar, appointed Lakshmipathi brother in law of Subba

× 3. 11. 90

Nagappa as gumasta of I Firka, and Narahari Rao and Thippia, who had originally been private gumastas of appellt's father, as gumastas of Firkas II & III respectively.

The above named Lakshmipathia does not[x] appear to have discharged his duties ~~personally~~. He managed to get his duties performed by his brother in law Subbarayappa Shanbhog of Bowringpet Kusba. On the death of Subba rayappa his (Subbarayappa's) father Girivasia[⊗] seems to have entered on those duties. Since 1890, when they were appointed by the Deputy Commr, Narahari Rao and Thippia have discharged their duties

[x] vide Amldari Regt. of 21-8-91

[⊗] Girivasia also, does not appear to have performed the duties ~~personally~~. (vide Amldari Regt. of 22.12.92)

9

157

Mr No 2781 of 1893-94

A.P.H.

~~Petn.~~

Venkayya
minor
by his guardian
& mother Achemma
Represented by his
& Narasimham
advocate - Appellant's father who was
Shanthoy of 24 villages was guilty
of having misappropriated Govt money amounting
to Rs 121-11-4, but as he was
~~he having found to be~~ old, &
always conducted his business through gumastas,
he was not criminally prosecuted, but was
simply dismissed from office in July 1891, & the
amount said to have been embezzled was paid by him.
His gumasta was not prosecuted as he absconded
& Appellant's father is now dead.

In January 1893, Dr Cotton ordered the
24 villages to be divided into 3 circles, & gave
one circle to appellant on his paying the Government
arrears due by him, & to register the other two circles
in the names of certain others who are

unconnected with
the appts family. The
Dy Com remarked that
appts father having murdered
Govt, last Nov Week, & that
one Case was given to appt
then as a matter of grace. The appeal is against the order
Considering the fact
that appts father was
a very old man entirely
in the hands of his
Gumastas who probably
deceived him, & seeing
that appt is a minor
about 10 years old who
could not have participated
in the crime, Govt are
pleased to direct that
all the 24 villages be
registered in his name
& Cuttable Gumasta
be appointed during
his minority, with

further arrangements
for her maintenance
& education — Mrs
Gilmour is willing to pay the
Local Revenue arrears
due by the deceased
& prays that a year's time
be granted — Exor Court
have decided that pay
in/r the arrears within 2
months from this date —
, & if she does not
pay it accordingly the Dy
Corr is at liberty to proceed
against her property as
deceased.
dcf
21/11/64

Dr is referred to Dy Corr
to whom orders have been
issued — dcf

Note for D. Chalmers

I have not made
the condition payment of arrears
a condition of the adjournment
as it is not legal to do so, & it
has the appearance of selfishness. dcf

No objection
dcf
23/11/64
I'm highly
surprised the
complainer's partner
omitting this
date
23/11

Pet 1475 24

18/5/93

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 2 73

dated 25—4—93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Venkamma guardian

of Rangayla minor, Caste Vaddar

Occupation Nature, residing at

Kurubarahalli in Colar [illegible]

praying the Kanniga Bangalore

[illegible]

SR 14592

is forwarded together with its

enclosures, to the Registrar Commissioner

for disposal. The Commissioner is

requested to submit a report on the

facts of the case & on the

points taken in the petition

& the petition with its enclosures, should be returned

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
May 1893.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

Office of Government Commissioner &c
Dated 29th June 1803.
30th

No. 4307/1062 and 1 Enclosure

(4)

To the Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your Circular
Memorandum No. 761/1475 dated 5th June
1803 forwarding for report a petition from
Nelumma, Guardian to Ramabha, minor
Zemindar of Bommakel Talook,
appealing against the Award dated
2nd January 1803, regarding the
Mamlut of Bandlote and nine
villages, I have the honor to state
that I conferred with the Tahsildar
of the Petitioner and the respective
Mamlutdar of the aforesaid villages
& Enquiry into the
Boundary dispute and
Tenkatamapha

* Nineteen villages

1. Bairya
2. Kalamapalli
3. Arumanahalli
4. Pimaktam
5. Chunchenahalli
6. Kondanahalli
7. Karamanahalli
8. Kalawaki
9. Tenkatrimapalli

certain

certain sums of Government money collected by him as Sirkar to whom he had granted receipts for the same and was also in Revenue defaulter to a large amount due on account of the lands held by him in several villages. The arrears due by him have been partly secured by the sale of his property and the balance still outstanding against him viz Rs 2-7-3-7 inclusive of a portion (Rs 3-12-9) for which the said Ramachandra Kalavar particulars is referred to the irrecoverable.

1. Odanda.
11. Jagadalli
12. Budikote.
13. Ravinakanahalli
14. Hosandahalli.
15. Gaddahalli
16. Sarvapura
17. Gollahalli
18. Kudlur.
19. Yelerandur.
20. Kattemapalla.
21. Gundlahalli.
22. Chenigenahalli
23. Seemagalmadahalli
24. Gollalli

3. The said Venkatamuppa was dismissed from his Shanbogue by an order of this office No. 358. dated the 27th July 1841 and as he was very old and infirm and almost on his death bed it was decided unnecessary to prosecute him for the offence of criminal misappropriation committed by him. His family has now forfeited all right of succession to the hereditary office.

The

42

30 (1)

Presented by the
Shivaganga
Guimasta.
June
25/4/93



Two Rupees Stamp.



To

A.S. No 1485
92-93.

The Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore

The humble petition of Venkamma
the guardian of Venkappa, the minor
Son of Venkatanarasappa the deceased
Shanbhog of Gajag and twenty three
Other villages in Bowringpetta Taluq Kolar
District, most respectfully Sheweth:—

1. That Venkatanarasappa the late father
of the petitioner was the hereditary Shanbhog
of Gajag and twenty three other villages
and that he died about two years ago

2. The Deputy Commissioner of
Kolar directed that the Shanbhoke
of the said villages be divided into
three circles of eight villages each

and

registered in the name of the petitioner and that one or two Sumastas be appointed to do duty during the petitioner's minority

5. That, further, the petitioner will make arrangements for the payment of the whole amount due by his late father and that he may be allowed two years time for the same.

25th April 1893. S. Ramanathan
Proctor for Petr.

Petr. Secretary, Executive and Member of Tamil Sangam

(General Serial No. 32.)

1894.

No. 2032

Name of Petitioner. [illegible]

Village. [illegible]

Táluk. [illegible]

District. [illegible]

Date of Arzi. 16-1-94

Date of Receipt. 20-1-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

[illegible]

1-2- Which is in the secret
That Hannah Parsons the late
Wife by the grace of God
Queen of the United Kingdom
and the said Warrant was
the year directed from that
Office to me as Sheriff
aforesaid

Pray Mr Sheriff that justice
may be done to them after perusing
the records, by confirming their
right to the said Office

Dec 28/11

Before the Government of His Highness the Maha-
rajah of Mysore

37 (7)

Appeal No 148 of 1892-93

Appellant
Venkappa, minor
by his guardian
and mother Achamma
represented by Mr. S. Narasin
Roy, advocate.

LR 1892-5

Decision dated 23rd January 1894

Appellant's father who was
Shanbhog of 24 villages was
found guilty of having
misappropriated Government
money amounting to Rs. 121-11-6,
but as he was old, and
always conducted his business
honestly previously, he was
not criminally prosecuted
and was simply dismissed
from office in July 1891, and
the amount said to have
been embezzled was paid
by him. His guardian was
not prosecuted as he absconded

Appellant's father is now dead.

2. ~~In January 1896~~, the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ under notice
the 24 villages ~~to be~~ divided into 3 circles, ~~of these, the Deputy Commissioner gave~~ the circle bequeathed to appellant on his paying the land revenue arrears due by him, and to register the other two circles in the names of certain men who are unconnected with the appellant's family. The Deputy Commissioner remarked that appellant's father having misappropriated Government money, lost his luck and that one circle was given to ~~appellant~~ him as a matter of grace. The appeal is against this order.

Suit
[illegible] in January 1893 ordered [illegible]

3. Considering the fact that appellant's father was a very old man entirely in the hands of his gumastas

who probably deceived him, and seeing that appellant is a minor about 10 years old, who could not have participated in the crime, Government are pleased to direct that all the 24 villages be registered in his name, and suitable gumastas be appointed during his minority with proper arrangements for his maintenance and education. Appellant's guardian is willing to pay the Land Revenue arrears due by the deceased and prays that a 2 years' time be granted. Government have directed her to pay up the arrears within 2 months from this date. The Revenue Commissioner will

40

accordingly receive the amount and report the fact for the information of Government.

By order

Redraft

No 12740 Chief Secretary
Pol & 84-95 30-5-94
5-2-94

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District with the necessary proceedings received with his No 4307/1062 dated 29th June 1893.

By order

Chief Secretary

29/11/44

30/1

4/- (8)

Petition No 232 of 1893-94

Petitioners -

1. Venkatrayappa
   Swamikkaj Adivalagamadi

2. Nanjappa
   Nanikkaj Timmappa
   Jannapplikaki

LR 145-92-7

In the dismissal of Venkat-
-narayppa suit over Mauliy
of Jappa and 23 other villages
in the Bennighal Taluk, the
Fishia was, by order of the 2nd Court
divided into 8 shares, to one of
which Venkatappa was of the
deceased Mauliy was appointed
he then two years to have
commenced with the family
of the Mauliy. In the
appeal preferred by Venkatappa
(No 148 of 1892-93) the Commissioner
directed the Mauliy of all the
24 villages in the name - Mauliy
the pendency of Venkatappa's appeal
the petitioners who say they are
agents of the deceased Mauliy
committed the petition against
the Collector in Preference to

1 Petitioners contend that they are makers of Venkatappa & are therefore entitled to the office in preference to the strangers, but the strangers having been summarily removed, & the application made by Venkatarangappa having been withdrawn, there appears no cause why the appeal is dismissed

43

Nine of persons connected with Kari Jaring who had been selected by the 2nd Panchayat for the work of the Standing Party by order of Govt. are appointed as Standing at all the 4 villages. His petition requires no consideration.

By order

Chief Secretary

No 12745 dated 31 January 1894

Enforcement - 2032

Petitioners are informed that Superintendent of the Department has appointed 4 Chowkidars in the 4 villages by his letter, their appeal against the selection of Chowkidars cannot be entertained & that orders have been passed. By order

The Chowkidars appointed have been removed & the appointment given to

Chief Secretary

Print in abstract
V. J 15/6.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards

(10)

Read

Letter no 1474/306 dated 15 October 1894 from the Dy Comm, Kolar, reporting with reference to Govt decision in appeal no 148 of 1892-93 that Rekamma, Mother and guardian of Venkatappa, minor, appellant in the said case, has paid the entire arrears due by the appellant viz Rs 99-9

LR 145-92-9

Order

Recorded

To the Dy Comm
24/10/10

Venkatnarnappa had never personally discharged the shanbhogi duties. However, since the year 1966-67 he had two gumastas to whom he had entrusted his shanbhogi duties. These gumastas had not been appointed by govt but by Venkatnarnappa only, to suit his own convenience. In 1889 one of these gumastas named Nanahari Rav was convicted in a criminal case for having unauthorizedly felled two Lāmarind trees belonging to govt.

The fact that the privati gumastas

of venkatnarnappa had been collecting kandayam from the ryots and giving them receipts was also brought to the notice of the Deputy Commr.

Thereupon on the 11th November 1889 the Deputy Commr ordered that the two private gumastas of venkatnarnappa viz narahari Rao and Thippia should be removed, that as it was not quite possible that two gumastas only could efficiently discharge the Shanbhogi duties of 24 villages the Shanbhogi charge might be split up into three divisions of 8 villages each and that three separate

had failed to remit it to the Treasury.

Venkatnarnappa also laid the blame on his gumasta Devarayappa. Venkatnarnappa stated that after he had received the money from the ryots it was given to Devarayappa and that Devarayappa had failed to remit the amount to the Treasury.

The whole amount said to have been embezzled by the appellant's father was Rs 121-11-4. He has subsequently paid up this amount.

A sum of about Rs 200-1-2 was also found to have been due to Govt by Venkatnarnappa on his lands as arrears of kandayam.

On the 27th July 1891 the Deputy/

The amildars dtd 22-7-91

Commr ordered that although the offence (misappropriation) committed by Venkatanarnappa was one for which he should have been prosecuted, he was nevertheless excused in consideration of his infirmities & old age, that he was however dismissed from his office, and that Devaraja his gumasta should be prosecuted on account of his having misappropriated Govt money.

Devaraja absconded and no steps could be taken against him

On the 18th October 1891 the Deputy Commr directed the Amildar to report as to who were prepared to pay up the arrears due to Govt by Venkat-narnappa

appellant on Condition
of his paying up the
arrears due to govt
by his father and
that the above name
Venkatramia's son
Soorappa might look
after the Shanbhogi
duties till the appellt
attained his majority.

It is against this
order that the present
appeal has been
preferred.

In submitting to
this office the records
of the case the Deputy
Commr reports as
follows:-

× × ×

" During his life-time
Venkatnarasappa (appellt's
father) embezzled
certain sums of Govt

Dated 24-6-93

money collected from the ryots. - - - - , and was also a revenue defaulter to a large amount due on account of his lands. The arrears due by him have been partly recovered by the sale of his property and the balance still out-standing against him viz Rs 207. 3. 7 is reported to be irrecovable

The said Venkatnarayya was dismissed from his Shanbhogi by an order of this office no 358 dated 27th July 1891 and as he was very old and infirm and almost on his deathbed it was decided unnecessary to prosecute him for the offence of criminal misappropriation. . . .

personally. Vnt
~~independently~~. Vnt
Mippia ~~[illegible]~~ lately
deposed that he did
not want the Shan
-bhogi and that it might
be given to somebody
else.

Under the Deputy
Commr's order now
appealed against,
Taika I has to be
registered in the name
of the appellt, Taika
II in the name of
Narahari Rao and
Taika III in the name
of one Venkatakrishna
who had been doing
the Shanbhogi duties
of Mugalabele.

The appellant
prays that the Shan
-bhogi of all the 24
villages may be

Give Amlodari
Advt's 22.12.92

registered in his name
and that two years'
time may be allowed
to him for payment
of the arrears due
by his father.

W.C.M.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 2781

Name of Petitioner. Achamma, guardian of Venkappa, the minor son of Venkatanarayana the deceased Shanbhog by letter: S. Narayana Rao. of Gajag

Village. Gajag

Taluk. Bowringpet

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 25-4-93

Date of Receipt. 25-4-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two

LR. 145-92-1

ABSTRACT.

After Venkatanarayappa, the late Shanbhog of Gajag died, the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar directed that the Shanbhogike of the said villages be devided into 3 circles of 2 villages each & 2 of such circles be given to strangers to the family of the petitioner & the remaining circle be registered in the name of the petitioner on the condition of his paying up the amount alleged to have been misappropriated by the petitioner's late father. The petitioner says that the amount due by his father to Government was

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

was not any Government money
was appropriated, but I ask the amount
of Kindayam due by him for land
on his patta. As he is willing
to pay up the amount in two
annual instalments, he prays
that the Shrotriyhoge of all the
villages may be registered in his
name.

21/4.

(General Serial No. 80.)

25

1893. 15-595.

Pet 627

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 29th June 1893

Despatched 30th - Do. —

Received

LR 145-92-3

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 4307
No. 1062

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the petition from Chelamma Guardian of Vengappa Minor, Gajjaga Village Bowring-pett Taluk - - - - - - -

Petn Br
MRR
1/7/93

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

4 The shanbogi charge in question being too unwieldy consisting of 24 villages which accounts in a measure for the unsatisfactory manner in which the duties attached to the office appear to have been performed during the time of the late incumbent, the Amildar was ordered to break it up into 3 parts of 8 villages each and to recommend for each Firka a competent man unconnect-ed with the family of the dismissed shanboque.

5 The Petitioner having however repeatedly represented to me that she and her minor sons would be left quite helpless if the "Hak" were forfeited, I have with a view to provide for the maintenance of the family of the deceased shanboque directed in this office order No: 731 dated the 27th January 1893 that if the Petitioner pay up the arrears due to Government by her late husband her eldest son Vengakka should be registered as the shanboque of one of the 3 Firkas into which the charge has been divided, her brother's son Surakka being appointed Gumasta to officiate during the minority of the registered holder.

6. The Petitioner appeals against the aforementioned

aforementioned order and her appeal
is in my humble opinion utterly ground-
-less for the reasons explained above.

7. The Vernacular Proceedings connected
with the case as per accompanying Periot
are herewith submitted for reference and
obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

and that two of such circles be
given over to strangers to the family
of the petitioner and the remaining
one circle be registered in the name
of the petitioner on the condition
of his paying up the amount
alleged to have been misappropriated
by the petitioner's late father

3. That the petitioner is informed that
the amount due by his father to
Government was not any government
money misappropriated by him but
was the amount of Kandayam
due by him for lands in his patta,
which amount the petitioner is willing
to pay up in two annual instalments
if the Government be pleased to so
direct

4. That to take away the hereditary
rights of the family of the petitioner
for the other two circles is a great
loss and hardship on the petitioner
and the petitioner therefore prays
that the Government will be pleased
to direct that all the Shanbhaks
of the said twenty four villages be

Vesting

of His Highness the Maha

ypore 27 (7)

2781
T.S. 148

41

5-2-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

30 January 189 4 (6)

5-2-

Endorsement granted to Vengabba, minor by his guardian and mother Achamma, represented by Mr. S. Narayana Row, advocate

Reply to his Petition Registered No. 2781 dated 25-4-93 appealing against the Kolar u/s 148 by Commissioner's order regarding the Shanbogi & Burkole & other villages.

Petitioner is informed that referred to the Deputy Commissioner to whom orders have been issued

2 The enc: are returned

2/1/94

LR 145 926

2/1

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

that the amildar reports that the entire arrears due by the appellant Rs 6 Rupees 199-9 is more paid up by his mother Lekamma on the 30th June 1894.

S Muthiah
Deputy Commissioner